Thank you for your comments on the case regarding Alfred Saylor v. Kentucky Utilities Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2022-00030, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2022-00030 (ky.gov)</u>.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Shari Mullen
Sent: Wednesday, June 1, 2022 3:55 PM
To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov>
Subject: Public Comment in Case #2022-00030

Dear Commissioners,

Protect Rooftop Solar and Hold LG&E and KU Accountable

It's the Public Service Commission's job to hold monopoly utilities accountable to the statutes and regulations that govern them. You (the PSC) created a set of rules and standards which are now being flouted by the utility companies as they try to wriggle around the intent of the rules. The utilities are selling the excess energy generated by rooftop solar at retail rate, but refusing to compensate the homeowners who generate the electricity. How is that fair in any way? Please take action now to force the utility companies to adhere to your regulations.

Sincerely, Shari Mullen

Thank you for your comments on the case regarding Alfred Saylor v. Kentucky Utilities Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2022-00030, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2022-00030 (ky.gov)</u>.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Susan Brotherton
Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 12:17 PM
To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov>
Subject: Public Comment in Case #2022-00030

Dear Commissioners,

Protect Rooftop Solar and Hold LG&E and KU Accountable. The netting being used is unfair to solar customers. These companies need to be held accountable and refund to their solar customers the money owed to them if monthly netting had been used!!

Sincerely, Susan Brotherton

Thank you for your comments on the case regarding Alfred Saylor v. Kentucky Utilities Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2022-00030, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2022-00030 (ky.gov)</u>.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Charles Caudill
Sent: Friday, June 3, 2022 7:31 AM
To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov>
Subject: Public Comment in Case #2022-00030

Dear Commissioners,

Protect Rooftop Solar and Hold LG&E and KU Accountable. The long term benefits of LGE-KU establishing a strong partnership with community members who add solar panels and other alternative power sources far outweigh the short term loss in revenue. The reduction of demand on the power grid helps ease expansion, which is ongoing, as new industry and housing developments move into the region. It also diversifies generation locations, making it more difficult to target in a terrorist act. Dramatic? Yes! But a reality.

Sincerely, Charles Caudill

Thank you for your comments on the case regarding Alfred Saylor v. Kentucky Utilities Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2022-00030, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2022-00030 (ky.gov)</u>.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: Julie Speedy
Sent: Monday, June 6, 2022 6:55 PM
To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov>
Subject: Public Comment in Case #2022-00030

Dear Commissioners,

Protect Rooftop Solar and Hold LG&E and KU Accountable

Sincerely, Julie Speedy

Thank you for your comments on the case regarding Alfred Saylor v. Kentucky Utilities Company. Your comments in the above-referenced matter have been received and will be placed into the case file for the Commission's consideration. Please cite the case number in this matter, 2022-00030, in any further correspondence. The documents in this case are available at <u>View Case Filings for: 2022-00030 (ky.gov)</u>.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

From: ariel fugate
Sent: Wednesday, June 1, 2022 4:08 PM
To: PSC Public Comment <PSC.Comment@ky.gov>
Subject: Public Comment in Case #2022-00030

Dear Commissioners,

We can not allow utilities to do whatever they want regardless of the regulations that have been set forth. In the case of solar net metering that means ensuring customers have a "monthly netting period". The legislature said credits should accrue over the billing cycle.

Your original ruling said that LGE-KU should use the same netting period as determined in the Kentucky Power case (monthly netting).

The PSC should void the LGE/KU tariff and implement monthly netting.

The tariff being used by KU/LGE, so called "instantaneous netting" is not fair to solar customers, and in fact it is not "netting". The companies need to make it right by refunding the impacted customers what is owed to them.

This is actually two channel billing, in which people pay retail rate for all energy they take from the grid, and are credited a reduced rate for energy they generate but do not immediately use. This reduced rate should only be applied to generation that is in excess of use at the end of the month.

This will make rooftop solar out of reach for most Kentuckians and it will make it incredibly difficult for solar installers and any customer to adequately estimate the return on their significant investments in rooftop solar.

The PSC needs to do everything possible to avoid customer confusion and keep rate design as straightforward as possible.

The public should be able to trust that commission's rulings will be enforced- otherwise we not only have to invest resources in the process of getting a ruling but also in following up to monitor the enforcement

Somewhere along the line the ruling has been misinterpreted and LGE-KU must be held accountable.

Sincerely, ariel fugate

Alfred Saylor 828 Hildeen Drive Lexington, KENTUCKY 40502

*Honorable Allyson K Sturgeon Managing Senior Counsel - Regulatory & LG&E and KU Energy LLC 220 West Main Street Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*Honorable David Edward Spenard Strobo Barkley PLLC 239 South 5th Street Ste 917 Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*Robert Conroy Vice President, State Regulation and Rates LG&E and KU Energy LLC 220 West Main Street Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*Randal A. Strobo Strobo Barkley PLLC 239 South 5th Street Ste 917 Louisville, KENTUCKY 40202

*Kentucky Utilities Company 220 W. Main Street P. O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232-2010